EXHIBIT E25

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
2	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA						
3	BEFORE THE HONORABLE BRAD SELIGMAN						
4	DEPARTMENT 23						
5	000						
6	TERESA ELIZABETH LEAVITT						
7	and DEAN J. MCELROY, Plaintiffs,						
8	No. RG17882401						
9	VS.						
10	JOHNSON & JOHNSON, et al.,						
11	Defendants.						
12	/						
13	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL						
14	(WILLIAM E. LONGO, Ph.D.)						
15	Thursday, February 7, 2019						
16	Full Session						
17							
18							
19	Taken before EARLY K IANGLEY						
20	Taken before EARLY K. LANGLEY RMR, RSA, B.A.						
21	CSR No. 3537						
22	Aikon Wolch Court Bonortons						
23	Aiken Welch Court Reporters One Kaiser Plaza, Suite 250 Oakland, California 94612 (510) 451-1580/(877) 451-1580 Fax: (510) 451-3797 www.aikenwelch.com						
24							
25							

1	B0510	Photo of Television 1		Page 6		Page 8
	E0519 .69	Photo of Johnson's Baby Powder, Taiwan, 1/82	77	78	1 2	INDEX - (Pages 1-215)
2						INDEX OF EXHIBITS
3	E0519 .235	Photo of Actinolite/Tremolite with	77	78	3	DEFENDANT'S ID EV WD
3	.235	Talc Parallel Dispersion			4	DX June 1990 Advertisement, 175
4		1.605 R.I. @ 100X				12204 Asbestos Issues, Hands-On
5	E0519	Photo of	77	78	5	Solutions
6	.241	Actinolite/Tremolite Bundle Elongation @ 200X			6	DX MAS, TEM Asbestos Analysis 182
7	E0519	Photo of	77	79		11219 of Libby
	.243	Actinolite/Tremolite			7	Vermiculite-Containing
8		Parallel Dispersion 1.605			′	Scotts' Turf Builder
9		R.I. @ 100X				
9	E0519	Photo of Tremolite (11.0 um	77	79	8	Products, Prepared by:
10	.252	x 0.9 um) 10/17/2018				William E. Longo, Ph.D. and
11	E0519	Photo of Tremolite (6.3 um	77	79	9	Michael D. Mount, CIH,
12	.261	x 0.2 um) 10/18/2018				OHST, April 10, 2015
	E0514	Photo: Figure 1. Sample	113	116	10	
13	.169	M65228-001 Johnson's Baby			11	
1 /		Powder			12	
14	E609	Photo of close-up image of	113	115		
15	Боор	Johnson's baby powder	113	113	13	
16	E0610	Photo of the Back of	113	115	14	
1 77		Johnson's Baby Powder			15	
17	E0611	Photo of close-up image of	113	115	16	
18	20011	Johnson & Johnson Consumer	113	110	17	
		Products, Inc. Copyright			18	
19	E0514	Photo of Tremolite (4.5 um	114	116	19	
20	.172	x 0.6 um) 2/24/2017	114	110		
21	E0615	Photo of Richterite (6.8 um	114	115	20	
		x 0.45 um)			21	
22	E0520	Alb Lung Tissue Sample: Mg	132	135	22	
23	.14	Depleted Chrysotile Image	132	135	23	
		and Alb Lung Tissue Sample:			24	
24		Mg Depleted Chrysotile EDXA			25	
25						
				Page 7		Page 9
1	E0520	Alb Lung Tissue Sample:	132	136	1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL ON THE RECORD:
	.16	Talc Fiber Image			2 3	For the Plaintiffs:
2					4	JOSEPH SATTERLEY
	E0520	Alb Lung Tissue Sample:	132	137	-	DENYSE CLANCY
3	.22	Tremolite Image			5	Kazan, McClain, Satterley & Greenwood
4	E0520	Alb Lung Tissue Sample:	132	138	١.	
						55 Harrison Street, Suite 400
	. 25	Tremolite Bungle EDXA			6	Oakland, California 94607
5	. 25	Tremolite Bungle EDXA				Oakland, California 94607 (510) 302-1000
5	.25 E0520	Tremolite Bungle EDXA Bla Lung Tissue Sample	132	138	7	Oakland, California 94607 (510) 302-1000 Jsatterley@kazanlaw.com
5			132	138		Oakland, California 94607 (510) 302-1000
	E0520		132 133	138	7 8	Oakland, California 94607 (510) 302-1000 Jsatterley@kazanlaw.com Dclancy@kazanlaw.com MOSHE MAIMON
	E0520	Bla Lung Tissue Sample			7	Oakland, California 94607 (510) 302-1000 Jsatterley@kazanlaw.com Dclancy@kazanlaw.com MOSHE MAIMON Levy Konigsberg LLP
6	E0520	Bla Lung Tissue Sample Scanning Electron			7 8 9	Oakland, California 94607 (510) 302-1000 Jsatterley@kazanlaw.com Dclancy@kazanlaw.com MOSHE MAIMON Levy Konigsberg LLP 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
6	E0520 .32 E0620	Bla Lung Tissue Sample Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron	133		7 8	Oakland, California 94607 (510) 302-1000 Jsatterley@kazanlaw.com Dclancy@kazanlaw.com MOSHE MAIMON Levy Konigsberg LLP 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor New York, NY 10022
6	E0520 .32 E0620	Bla Lung Tissue Sample Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory	133		7 8 9	Oakland, California 94607 (510) 302-1000 Jsatterley@kazanlaw.com Dclancy@kazanlaw.com MOSHE MAIMON Levy Konigsberg LLP 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor New York, NY 10022 (212) 605-6200
6 7 8	E0520 .32 E0620	Bla Lung Tissue Sample Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory	133		7 8 9	Oakland, California 94607 (510) 302-1000 Jsatterley@kazanlaw.com Dclancy@kazanlaw.com MOSHE MAIMON Levy Konigsberg LLP 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor New York, NY 10022
6 7 8	E0520 .32 E0620	Bla Lung Tissue Sample Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron	133 133	141	7 8 9 10 11 12	Oakland, California 94607 (510) 302-1000 Jsatterley@kazanlaw.com Dclancy@kazanlaw.com MOSHE MAIMON Levy Konigsberg LLP 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor New York, NY 10022 (212) 605-6200
6 7 8 9	E0520 .32 E0620 E0621	Bla Lung Tissue Sample Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory	133 133 133	141	7 8 9 10 11 12	Oakland, California 94607 (510) 302-1000 Jsatterley@kazanlaw.com Dclancy@kazanlaw.com MOSHE MAIMON Levy Konigsberg LLP 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor New York, NY 10022 (212) 605-6200 mmaimon@levyaw.com For the Defendants Imerys Talc America, Inc.; Cyprus Mines Corporation; Imerys Talc Vermont, Inc.:
6 7 8	E0520 .32 E0620	Bla Lung Tissue Sample Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron	133 133	141	7 8 9 10 11 12	Oakland, California 94607 (510) 302-1000 Jsatterley@kazanlaw.com Dclancy@kazanlaw.com MOSHE MAIMON Levy Konigsberg LLP 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor New York, NY 10022 (212) 605-6200 mmaimon@levyaw.com For the Defendants Imerys Talc America, Inc.; Cyprus Mines Corporation; Imerys Talc Vermont, Inc.: SAMUEL JUBELIRER
6 7 8 9 10 11	E0520 .32 E0620 E0621	Bla Lung Tissue Sample Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory	133 133 133	141	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Oakland, California 94607 (510) 302-1000 Jsatterley@kazanlaw.com Dclancy@kazanlaw.com MOSHE MAIMON Levy Konigsberg LLP 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor New York, NY 10022 (212) 605-6200 mmaimon@levyaw.com For the Defendants Imerys Talc America, Inc.; Cyprus Mines Corporation; Imerys Talc Vermont, Inc.: SAMUEL JUBELIRER MORDECAI BOONE
6 7 8 9 10 11	E0520 .32 E0620 E0621	Bla Lung Tissue Sample Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron	133 133 133	141	7 8 9 10 11 12	Oakland, California 94607 (510) 302-1000 Jsatterley@kazanlaw.com Dclancy@kazanlaw.com MOSHE MAIMON Levy Konigsberg LLP 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor New York, NY 10022 (212) 605-6200 mmaimon@levyaw.com For the Defendants Imerys Talc America, Inc.; Cyprus Mines Corporation; Imerys Talc Vermont, Inc.: SAMUEL JUBELIRER MORDECAI BOONE Dentons US LLP
6 7 8 9 10 11	E0520 .32 E0620 E0621	Bla Lung Tissue Sample Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron	133 133 133	141	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Oakland, California 94607 (510) 302-1000 Jsatterley@kazanlaw.com Dclancy@kazanlaw.com MOSHE MAIMON Levy Konigsberg LLP 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor New York, NY 10022 (212) 605-6200 mmaimon@levyaw.com For the Defendants Imerys Talc America, Inc.; Cyprus Mines Corporation; Imerys Talc Vermont, Inc.: SAMUEL JUBELIRER MORDECAI BOONE Dentons US LLP 1999 Harrison Street, 13th Floor
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	E0520 .32 E0620 E0621	Bla Lung Tissue Sample Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron	133 133 133	141	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Oakland, California 94607 (510) 302-1000 Jsatterley@kazanlaw.com Dclancy@kazanlaw.com MOSHE MAIMON Levy Konigsberg LLP 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor New York, NY 10022 (212) 605-6200 mmaimon@levyaw.com For the Defendants Imerys Talc America, Inc.; Cyprus Mines Corporation; Imerys Talc Vermont, Inc.: SAMUEL JUBELIRER MORDECAI BOONE Dentons US LLP
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	E0520 .32 E0620 E0621	Bla Lung Tissue Sample Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron	133 133 133	141	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Oakland, California 94607 (510) 302-1000 Jsatterley@kazanlaw.com Dclancy@kazanlaw.com MOSHE MAIMON Levy Konigsberg LLP 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor New York, NY 10022 (212) 605-6200 mmaimon@levyaw.com For the Defendants Imerys Talc America, Inc.; Cyprus Mines Corporation; Imerys Talc Vermont, Inc.: SAMUEL JUBELIRER MORDECAI BOONE Dentons US LLP 1999 Harrison Street, 13th Floor Oakland, California 94612 (415) 882-5000 Samuel.jubelirer@dentons.com
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	E0520 .32 E0620 E0621	Bla Lung Tissue Sample Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron	133 133 133	141	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Oakland, California 94607 (510) 302-1000 Jsatterley@kazanlaw.com Dclancy@kazanlaw.com MOSHE MAIMON Levy Konigsberg LLP 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor New York, NY 10022 (212) 605-6200 mmaimon@levyaw.com For the Defendants Imerys Talc America, Inc.; Cyprus Mines Corporation; Imerys Talc Vermont, Inc.: SAMUEL JUBELIRER MORDECAI BOONE Dentons US LLP 1999 Harrison Street, 13th Floor Oakland, California 94612 (415) 882-5000
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	E0520 .32 E0620 E0621	Bla Lung Tissue Sample Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron	133 133 133	141	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Oakland, California 94607 (510) 302-1000 Jsatterley@kazanlaw.com Dclancy@kazanlaw.com MOSHE MAIMON Levy Konigsberg LLP 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor New York, NY 10022 (212) 605-6200 mmaimon@levyaw.com For the Defendants Imerys Talc America, Inc.; Cyprus Mines Corporation; Imerys Talc Vermont, Inc.: SAMUEL JUBELIRER MORDECAI BOONE Dentons US LLP 1999 Harrison Street, 13th Floor Oakland, California 94612 (415) 882-5000 Samuel.jubelirer@dentons.com Mordecai.boone@dentons.com
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	E0520 .32 E0620 E0621	Bla Lung Tissue Sample Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron	133 133 133	141	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Oakland, California 94607 (510) 302-1000 Jsatterley@kazanlaw.com Dclancy@kazanlaw.com MOSHE MAIMON Levy Konigsberg LLP 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor New York, NY 10022 (212) 605-6200 mmaimon@levyaw.com For the Defendants Imerys Talc America, Inc.; Cyprus Mines Corporation; Imerys Talc Vermont, Inc.: SAMUEL JUBELIRER MORDECAI BOONE Dentons US LLP 1999 Harrison Street, 13th Floor Oakland, California 94612 (415) 882-5000 Samuel.jubelirer@dentons.com Mordecai.boone@dentons.com
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	E0520 .32 E0620 E0621	Bla Lung Tissue Sample Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron	133 133 133	141	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Oakland, California 94607 (510) 302-1000 Jsatterley@kazanlaw.com Dclancy@kazanlaw.com MOSHE MAIMON Levy Konigsberg LLP 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor New York, NY 10022 (212) 605-6200 mmaimon@levyaw.com For the Defendants Imerys Talc America, Inc.; Cyprus Mines Corporation; Imerys Talc Vermont, Inc.: SAMUEL JUBELIRER MORDECAI BOONE Dentons US LLP 1999 Harrison Street, 13th Floor Oakland, California 94612 (415) 882-5000 Samuel.jubelirer@dentons.com Mordecai.boone@dentons.com BRADFORD DEJARDIN Dentons US LLP
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	E0520 .32 E0620 E0621	Bla Lung Tissue Sample Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron	133 133 133	141	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Oakland, California 94607 (510) 302-1000 Jsatterley@kazanlaw.com Dclancy@kazanlaw.com MOSHE MAIMON Levy Konigsberg LLP 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor New York, NY 10022 (212) 605-6200 mmaimon@levyaw.com For the Defendants Imerys Talc America, Inc.; Cyprus Mines Corporation; Imerys Talc Vermont, Inc.: SAMUEL JUBELIRER MORDECAI BOONE Dentons US LLP 1999 Harrison Street, 13th Floor Oakland, California 94612 (415) 882-5000 Samuel.jubelirer@dentons.com Mordecai.boone@dentons.com BRADFORD DEJARDIN Dentons US LLP 601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	E0520 .32 E0620 E0621	Bla Lung Tissue Sample Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron	133 133 133	141	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Oakland, California 94607 (510) 302-1000 Jsatterley@kazanlaw.com Dclancy@kazanlaw.com Dclancy@kazanlaw.com MOSHE MAIMON Levy Konigsberg LLP 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor New York, NY 10022 (212) 605-6200 mmaimon@levyaw.com For the Defendants Imerys Talc America, Inc.; Cyprus Mines Corporation; Imerys Talc Vermont, Inc.: SAMUEL JUBELIRER MORDECAI BOONE Dentons US LLP 1999 Harrison Street, 13th Floor Oakland, California 94612 (415) 882-5000 Samuel.jubelirer@dentons.com Mordecai.boone@dentons.com BRADFORD DEJARDIN Dentons US LLP 601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500 Los Angeles, California 90017
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	E0520 .32 E0620 E0621	Bla Lung Tissue Sample Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron	133 133 133	141	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Oakland, California 94607 (510) 302-1000 Jsatterley@kazanlaw.com Dclancy@kazanlaw.com MOSHE MAIMON Levy Konigsberg LLP 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor New York, NY 10022 (212) 605-6200 mmaimon@levyaw.com For the Defendants Imerys Talc America, Inc.; Cyprus Mines Corporation; Imerys Talc Vermont, Inc.: SAMUEL JUBELIRER MORDECAI BOONE Dentons US LLP 1999 Harrison Street, 13th Floor Oakland, California 94612 (415) 882-5000 Samuel.jubelirer@dentons.com Mordecai.boone@dentons.com BRADFORD DEJARDIN Dentons US LLP 601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	E0520 .32 E0620 E0621	Bla Lung Tissue Sample Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron	133 133 133	141	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Oakland, California 94607 (510) 302-1000 Jsatterley@kazanlaw.com Dclancy@kazanlaw.com Dclancy@kazanlaw.com MOSHE MAIMON Levy Konigsberg LLP 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor New York, NY 10022 (212) 605-6200 mmaimon@levyaw.com For the Defendants Imerys Talc America, Inc.; Cyprus Mines Corporation; Imerys Talc Vermont, Inc.: SAMUEL JUBELIRER MORDECAI BOONE Dentons US LLP 1999 Harrison Street, 13th Floor Oakland, California 94612 (415) 882-5000 Samuel.jubelirer@dentons.com Mordecai.boone@dentons.com BRADFORD DEJARDIN Dentons US LLP 601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500 Los Angeles, California 90017 (213) 243-6116
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	E0520 .32 E0620 E0621	Bla Lung Tissue Sample Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron Micrographs, MAS Laboratory Scanning Electron	133 133 133	141	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Oakland, California 94607 (510) 302-1000 Jsatterley@kazanlaw.com Dclancy@kazanlaw.com Dclancy@kazanlaw.com MOSHE MAIMON Levy Konigsberg LLP 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor New York, NY 10022 (212) 605-6200 mmaimon@levyaw.com For the Defendants Imerys Talc America, Inc.; Cyprus Mines Corporation; Imerys Talc Vermont, Inc.: SAMUEL JUBELIRER MORDECAI BOONE Dentons US LLP 1999 Harrison Street, 13th Floor Oakland, California 94612 (415) 882-5000 Samuel.jubelirer@dentons.com Mordecai.boone@dentons.com BRADFORD DEJARDIN Dentons US LLP 601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500 Los Angeles, California 90017 (213) 243-6116

```
Page 12
                                                        Page 10
1
     For the Defendants Johnson & Johnson; Johnson & Johnson
                                                                 1
                                                                     Dr. Longo's depositions, dealt with his analysis of
     Consumer, Inc.:
                                                                     Johnson & Johnson products that had Chinese talc in
2
             NATHAN DULLUM
                                                                     them. And so I tried -- I met with counsel and
3
             JERMAIN JONES
                                                                 4
                                                                     asked -- told him that I was not going to go into
             Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
             The Orrick Building
                                                                 5
                                                                     Chinese talc, but I believe that would be improper for
             405 Howard Street
5
             San Francisco, California 94105
                                                                 6
                                                                     the defendants to elicit testimony with -- from
             (415) 773-5700
                                                                 7
                                                                     Dr. Longo with regard to his testing of Johnson &
6
             Ndullum@orrick.com
             Jjones@orrick.com
                                                                     Johnson products that were made from Chinese talc
7
                                                                 9
                                                                     because it's irrelevant to his testimony in this case.
             MATTHEW ASHBY
8
             GEOFFREY G. MOSS
                                                                10
                                                                              MR. ASHBY: Couple points, Your Honor. One is,
             Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
                                                                11
                                                                     one of the Chinese talc bottles that he uses for his
             777 South Figueroa Street, Suite 3200
             Los Angeles, California 90071
                                                                12
                                                                     control, so he has a -- he has a control bottle which
10
             (213) 612-2257
                                                                13
                                                                     is a bottle that he purchased off the shelf that's
             Mashby@orrick.com
11
             Gmoss@orrick.com
                                                                14
                                                                     relevant to understanding the processes that he does
12
             MICHAEL BROWN
             SCOTT RICHMAN
                                                                15
                                                                     with respect to analysis. You have to have a control
13
             Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP
                                                                16
                                                                     blank. I don't see any way to separate the control
             100 South Charles Street, Suite 1200
14
             Baltimore, Maryland 21201
                                                                17
                                                                     blank from the rest of his analyses. So that's the
             (443) 392-9401
                                                                18
                                                                     first point.
15
             Mike.brown@nelsonmullins.com
             Scott.richman@nelsonmullins.com
                                                                19
                                                                              The other point is that he has three other
16
                                                                20
                                                                     off-the-shelf bottles that were purchased in the 2000s
17
18
                                                                21
                                                                     that I would like to ask him about if he found asbestos
19
                                                                22
                                                                     in those, the reason being, one, we've heard testimony
20
21
                                                                23
                                                                     now from Mr. -- from Dr. Hopkins elicited by Mr. Maimon
22
23
                                                                24
                                                                     regarding China and the use of Chinese talc at some
24
                                                                25
                                                                     point during the use of Korean talc. There was a
25
                                                        Page 11
                                                                                                                        Page 13
1
                              --000--
                                                                     switchover, it sounded like, from the testimony of
2
                      PROCEEDINGS
                                                                 2
                                                                     Dr. Hopkins that Mr. Maimon elicited. So they have
3
                                                                 3
                                                                     heard about Chinese talc being used in lieu of Korean
                              --000--
4
               Thursday, February 7, 2019 - 8:49 a.m.
                                                                 4
                                                                     talc at some point.
5
                         (Morning Session)
                                                                 5
                                                                              And then, finally, there were -- there was much
6
              (Whereupon, the following proceedings were held
                                                                 6
                                                                     testimony from Dr. Hopkins with respect to what
7
                                                                 7
     outside the presence of the jury:)
                                                                     Johnson & Johnson was considering in the 2000s with
8
              THE COURT: Good morning, everybody. So what
                                                                     respect to warnings. There were demonstrative -- there
9
     do we have?
                                                                     were exhibits that were entered from, I think like 2013
10
                                                                10
             MR. MAIMON: Yes, Your Honor.
                                                                     or 2014 where they were discussing warnings, there was
11
              In anticipation of Dr. Longo's testimony this
                                                                11
                                                                     a PowerPoint slide that was shown from Johnson &
    morning, I met and conferred with counsel for J&J and
                                                                     Johnson. So, to the extent there is an issue about
12
                                                                12
13
     confirmed with him that I was not going to deal with
                                                                13
                                                                     whether Johnson & Johnson should have warned or not
14
     talcs that are not at issue in this case that Dr. Longo
                                                                     warned, it's relevant for the jury to know that there
15
     may have tested, and specifically, some of the talcs
                                                                15
                                                                     is testing that has been done in the 2000s, which is
16
     that Dr. Longo tested deal with Chinese talc that
                                                                16
                                                                     Chinese talc, at least after 2003, that suggests there
17
     Johnson & Johnson sourced for their post 2003 products
                                                                17
                                                                     is no need to warn because there is no asbestos in the
18
    pursuant to the Court's ruling pretrial we've avoided
                                                                18
                                                                     Chinese talc.
19
                                                                19
     all of the Chinese talc. So we're not going to go into
                                                                              MR. MAIMON: So taking that in order,
20
     it.
                                                                20
                                                                     Your Honor, I think that it is proper to question
21
              I did note that in the cross-examination of
                                                                21
                                                                     Dr. Longo about the control bottle that he used, the
22
                                                                22
    Mr. Poye the other day, there was a report that he had
                                                                     same way that they cross-examined Mr. Poye about that.
23
                                                                23
     issued to Dr. Longo that dealt with 79 samples. It
                                                                     And I don't have any objection to that.
24
     wasn't identified as such, but some of those samples I
                                                                24
                                                                              With regard to the duty to warn, the duty to
    happen to know because I've dealt -- defended
                                                                25
                                                                     warn ends at the last exposure, which is 1998 in this
```

```
Page 146
                                                                                                                       Page 148
    Your Honor. That's one. We haven't heard from her.
                                                                    how long it was used. There would be -- there's no
                                                                 1
    So if she does end up coming, that alleviates some of
                                                                     evidence of that and it's total hearsav.
    the issue.
                                                                 3
                                                                              So -- and there's no way for us to unring the
4
              That does not alleviate one continuing issue
                                                                 4
                                                                    bell with Dr. Longo when he's just going to say, oh,
5
    that it sounds like Dr. Egilman -- excuse me -- that
                                                                 5
                                                                     that's what the testimony is, when there is no factual
                                                                     foundation to support that, even if she does testify.
6
7
             MR. SATTERLEY: Longo.
                                                                     And that's the issue.
8
             MR. RICHMAN: Thank you.
                                                                              MR. SATTERLEY: Your Honor, Mr. Richman and
9
              -- Dr. Longo was beginning to give and that
                                                                 9
                                                                    Mr. Brown have been admitted here pro hac vice. And I
10
    Dr. Egilman was starting to tread on.
                                                                10
                                                                     would expect that they would follow the rules and the
11
             What appears is there is this overall testimony
                                                                11
                                                                     law of California and be candid with the Court and not
12
    that, from the day of birth until 1968, she was exposed
                                                                12
                                                                     make misrepresentations.
    to Korean talc and that there is absolutely no factual
                                                                13
                                                                              What Mr. Brown did yesterday, which is going to
13
14
    foundation to support that opinion.
                                                                14
                                                                     come out later, is lie to the jury with Dr. Egilman.
15
             As Mr. Brown had to point out with Dr. Eqilman,
                                                                15
                                                                              And what Mr. Richman just did is not be candid
    the testimony of Ms. Susan Leavitt -- and this is --
16
                                                                16
                                                                     with Your Honor regarding the testimony, because on the
17
    I'm citing page 21 of her deposition.
                                                                17
                                                                     very -- a few pages later, Ms. Leavitt is directly
              "Question:" This is line 15. "Do you know
                                                                     asked where they purchased the Johnson's Baby Powder,
18
19
    where the Johnson's Baby Powder that you purchased at
                                                                     and she said, at the local grocery stores where we go
20
    Sangley Point came from?
                                                                20
                                                                     shopping.
21
                                                                21
              "Answer: It came from the United States."
                                                                              So, for the -- for counsel to tell Your Honor
22
              She says on page 19, "Now, where did you
                                                                22
                                                                     that the only place that she purchased was at a local
23
   purchase the baby powder that you used on Terry when
                                                                23
    she was a baby in the Philippines.
                                                                24
24
                                                                              MR. RICHMAN: I never said that.
25
                                                                25
              "Answer: When my husband was in the military
                                                                              MR. SATTERLEY: Wait a second now.
                                                       Page 147
                                                                                                                       Page 149
    we purchased it at the commissary or the PX."
                                                                 1
                                                                              MR. RICHMAN: I object. That's a
2
             And then she says: "And where was the
                                                                 2
                                                                    misrepresentation.
3
    commissary or PX.
                                                                              THE COURT: One at a time.
4
              "Answer: It was in Sangley Point Naval
                                                                              MR. RICHMAN: I never said that's the only
5
    Station."
                                                                    place, Your Honor. The entire time period was the
6
             So the -- issue one is the undisputed testimony
                                                                 6
                                                                    representation of the witness.
7
                                                                 7
    from the witness is the products she purchased on base
                                                                              THE COURT: Let's -- plaintiff is speaking now.
    was sourced from the United States. There is nothing
                                                                              MR. SATTERLEY: So for counsel to suggest to
9
    to dispute that in the record.
                                                                     this jury and to Your Honor that -- number one, that
10
             More importantly, Your Honor, the other
                                                                10
                                                                     the Korean talc is not at issue because she purchased
   undisputed testimony is that on -- sometime in
11
                                                                11
                                                                     it at a PX and that she knew the actual source of how
    September of 1967, Terry Leavitt's mother, Susan, and
                                                                     it came to when, in fact, on page 20 of her deposition,
12
    her husband -- I believe his name was David -- moved to
                                                                     when directly asked by counsel, she said, "We purchased
13
14
    the United States. They left behind Terry with Terry's
                                                                     it at the grocery store where we go shopping."
15
    grandmother and a house helper.
                                                                15
                                                                              So that -- number one, that's inaccurate
                                                                     representation.
16
              So -- and they stayed there for another seven
                                                                16
                                                                17
                                                                             MR. RICHMAN: Your Honor, I'm sorry. I would
17
    months before Terry and the grandmother joined them
18
    back in the United States.
                                                                18
                                                                     just ask counsel to read the question because it does
19
              I believe Terry's grandmother has passed and
                                                                19
                                                                     clarify.
20
   also the housekeeper. So there will be absolutely no
                                                                20
                                                                              MR. SATTERLEY: "You mentioned that you -- that
21
    testimony about the products that were used on Terry
                                                                21
                                                                     you'd get the Johnson's Baby Powder at the
22
    during the time she was still in the Philippines that
                                                                22
                                                                     commissionary (sic) after he was discharged from the
23
    her parents had moved to the United States.
                                                                23
                                                                     military in late March 1967. Where did you purchase
24
              So it would be utter and complete speculation
                                                                24
                                                                     Johnson's Baby Powder?"
                                                                25
    as to what was used on her, the frequency it was used,
                                                                              MR. RICHMAN: After late March 1967.
```

Page 150

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

7

16

17

18

21

22

23

```
1
             MR. SATTERLEY: Counsel.
 2
             THE COURT: Yeah, let's -- one at a time,
 3
    please.
 4
             MR. SATTERLEY: So my point is, Your Honor,
 5
    Ms. Leavitt's going to be here to -- Susan Leavitt's
    going to be here to testify. She's going to testify
     that she purchased sometime at the PX, the Post
    Exchange, the military. Sometimes she purchased it at
 9
    a grocery store. She's going to testify when they did
    and how they did it. All that's going to be -- she
10
11
   testified about it in her deposition. This expert --
12
   so there's no Sanchez issue at all. Sanchez related to
   the gang activities and the underlying facts that were
13
   never introduced and the testimony that was never
15
    introduced. Here, that -- the Sanchez case doesn't
16
    apply whatsoever. So she's going to testify about
17
    that.
18
             Everything he's raised is subject to
19
```

cross-examination and the weight the jury may give to the testimony of -- of Ms. Leavitt's testimony.

The -- certainly we can -- Your Honor is going to give an instruction that there's two ways to prove a fact: direct evidence and indirect evidence.

And you -- I think Your Honor has already given preliminary instructions to that.

Page 151 And Ms. Leavitt's going to testify that her --I think it was her father and other family members did this activity on Terry also, and that it's her understanding and belief, because she instructed them to do so, that that -- that the powder was done when she wasn't there.

So -- and the jury, Your Honor, may sustain objections when she comes, but to totally prevent an expert from giving opinions regarding this testimony is, I think, not well founded based upon the law in California.

12 And Ms. Clancy says she's putting on 13 Ms. Leavitt.

14

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

5

6

7

10 11

15

16

17

18

19

25

I don't know.

Do you have anything to add to that argument? MS. CLANCY: No. I agree with Mr. Satterley. MR. RICHMAN: So just in response, Your Honor,

I have never stated there was not a small period of time that she may have claimed she bought a powder at a grocery store. What I stated to the Court was that,

21 from the date of her birth and through March of 1967, the undisputed testimony was that she bought the

22 23 product on base which came from the United States.

24 There's no dispute about that fact.

Moreover, which is also in dispute and was not

Page 152 addressed by Mr. Satterley, is that, as of June of '67, Terry's mother and her husband moved to the

United States. Since I have familiarized myself with

the rules of California caselaw, a fact witness needs 5 personal knowledge of the testimony -- or of events to

give testimony about those. It is sheer and utter

speculation as to what the babysitter may have been

doing during the time that Terry is across the world from where her parents are. There's not going to be

10 any evidence of -- from anyone with any personal 11

knowledge as to what happened after September of 1967.

The problem is, that Mr. Satterley seems to keep missing, is that these expert witnesses keep saying there's just this continuous use of the product from Korea from the date of her birth through 1968. And there's absolutely no factual basis to substantiate that. And that is our issue.

THE COURT: I understand the arguments that have been made here. I haven't yet heard actually what he's going to say. And what he's going to say is what -- and I will instruct the jury -- that his statements about the depositions are not evidence and the jury will have to decide whether, in fact, when these people testify, it is. And there's an instruction I give at the end of the case in which the

Page 153

jury considers whether something has been established or not with regard -- that an expert relies on. So all of that's sort of a -- a later problem. 4

The arguments that you raise right now are all appropriate matters for cross-examination. I'm not going to preclude him from expressing an opinion, and you can go at him going forward.

I haven't heard actually what he's going to

say, and I want to hear that. If he says something 10 that you think he -- that there's no basis in the 11 record to do it, I'll consider that. But what I am hearing is is he's going to tell based on what he 13 understands from her deposition and he's going to draw some conclusions about it. And that may or may not be 15 borne out by the evidence.

So I'm not going to issue a ruling right now that precludes him from going there.

MR. RICHMAN: And that's fine. I just -- to clarify the last point, I think -- or ask the Court to consider, because we're going to have to probably revisit this with this witness. It's not that she doesn't say it in her deposition, it's that she has no basis for saying it, and that now this witness cannot regurgitate something that she will not be able to say under any Rule of Evidence.

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

20

1

3

4

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

19

20

21

23

```
Page 154

THE COURT: And that is -- that is why I am going to instruct the jury that he's explaining what the basis of his opinion is. His summary of the deposition is not admissible testimony at all. The jury is going to have to decide when that individual testifies what is there and decide if that supports his opinion.

MR. RICHMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
```

1

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE COURT: Let's take a break while we can.

MR. ASHBY: I have one other issue. I hadn't
made a Kennemur objection. It had to do with documents
that Dr. Longo said he had reviewed for historical
testing regarding chrysotile. I had asked him at his
deposition about that. I had asked him to cite those
documents for me. He could not do that at the
deposition. He said he would withdraw his testimony if

deposition. He said he would withdraw his testimony he couldn't find those documents. He offered to

18 collect those documents for me and give them to me, 19 which he never did. That was the basis of my Kennemur

20 objection, is that I am now in a position where he's

21 testified about documents he's seen that show

22 historical testing of chrysotile, yet at his deposition

23 he was unable to disclose those documents to me,

offered, volunteered to collect those documents for me

25 to provide them but never did. Now I'm hearing it at

Page 155

trial and I'm incapable of cross-examining him on the documents.

MR. MAIMON: I think if you look at the deposition transcript, what Dr. Longo said is I have

deposition transcript, what Dr. Longo said is I have been deposed countless times for Johnson & Johnson, I've identified the documents before in prior depositions by Johnson & Johnson, and by Mr. Ashby's firm, of him and that he relies on the list of documents that he has produced and these documents are on the list. And he doesn't -- I don't believe he has to sit there at a deposition and identify document by document if it's been produced in anticipation of his deposition, if it's -- if it's there, and if he's been deposed upon it, countless times and gone through the

documents with Johnson & Johnson.

MR. SATTERLEY: I believe the disclosure of the case incorporated by reference, his prior testimony from the Lanzo case and from these other cases so that we have more than adequate notice and these list of reliance lists, he's been cross-examined ad nauseam.

MR. ASHBY: The problem, if anyone puts themselves in my shoes, is when he's tells me he's seen documents regarding chrysotile in products, and as I explained at the deposition and Mr. Maimon and I got into a disagreement about is, I need to see the

Page 156

document to know whether or not it's relevant at all to
this case and the exposures in this case, because as
you know, there are -- there's Chinese talc, there's
Italian talc, there's Vermont talc, and there's Korean
talc. And for me to know whether or not these are
documents that are relevant to this case, that may have
been relevant to some other case certainly, or somebody
else may have asked him about it, it's only fair for me
to see those documents when he says to me that there

are documents that support this position.

And he cited -- he cited -- in his report he cites there's 95 documents. So I asked him, of those 95 documents you're citing, which ones are the chrysotile documents that support your opinion? And he could not do it. He said he would collect those for me, and he did not do it.

So I'm put in this very difficult position now. It's not unlike when Dr. Hopkins was on the stand and the objections constantly were, what's the document that supports it?

21 THE COURT: Let me see the deposition testimony 22 you're referring to before you ask him.

MR. SATTERLEY: And the disclosure here, Your Honor, where I incorporated Lanzo, Anderson, Ingham.

Page 157

THE COURT: Before you go there, I want to hear first what the testimony is.

Mr. Ashby.

You know what we're going to do? Go look for it now. I want to take a break right now. Before we call the jury back in, I'll look at this issue.

Let's go off the record.

(Recess taken.)

(Afternoon Session)

(Whereupon, the jury having entered the courtroom, the following proceedings were held:)

THE COURT: Before we were on the break, there was an objection to Dr. Longo who was referring to some testimony of plaintiff's mother, who will be a witness in this case.

I'm overruling the objection at this point, but I want to instruct you that the expert is permitted to tell you what assumptions he's making in reaching his conclusions.

You will be asked at the end of the case to decide whether those assumptions are supported by the actual evidence. So his statement summarizing the depositions are not evidence in this case. You hear the actual testimony and decide if it supports the opinion.

Page 166 Page 168 1 A. Yes. Q. Now, I have one final question for you, 1 Dr. Longo. And first of all --Q. And, to the extent that that talc was anthophyllite you explained the inability of the SEM to 3 Two questions. distinguish, is that also consistent with your testing 4 A. It's a lie. 5 of the Johnson & Johnson products? 5 THE COURT: Never a trust a lawyer who says he A. It would be. 6 has one final question. Q. And you found chrysotile in the tissue as well; 7 MR. SATTERLEY: On both sides. THE COURT: You can pick a side. correct? 9 A. Yes, sir. 9 BY MR. MAIMON: 10 Q. And is that consistent with your review of the 10 Q. Is your methodology, when you talked about with 11 the sensitivity, is it capable of identifying documents? 11 12 A. Yes, it is. 12 14 asbestos fibers per gram of talc? 13 MR. ASHBY: Object. Move no strike, 13 A. No, sir. That's impossible, as we sit here 14 Your Honor, based on what we talked about. 14 today. 15 THE COURT: There is a motion to strike on the 15 Q. And is any methodology that you're familiar 16 documents. I'm going to reserve ruling on that subject 16 with capable of identifying asbestos in talc at the 17 to our discussions. 17 level of 14 fibers per gram? BY MR. MAIMON: A. No. We have probably the lowest sensitivity of 18 18 19 Q. And is it also consistent with your review of 19 any of the labs that I know. We're right -- hovering the Cyprus or Imerys documents, Dr. Longo? 20 around 2500. We're talking almost two orders of 20 21 A. It is. 21 magnitude lower than that. I'm not aware of anything 22 22 Q. Now, based upon your review of the materials in that can do that. 23 this case, is there any other documented or confirmed 23 Q. Of all the opinions that you've given us been significant asbestos exposure that Terry Leavitt has 24 to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty? 25 had aside from her use of Johnson's Baby Powder for the A. Yes, sir. Page 167 Page 169 1 use on her? 1 MR. MAIMON: Thank you. 2 MR. DEJARDIN: Objection. Foundation. First 2 Those are all the questions I have, Your Honor. part. Or "after the side" -- or "aside." THE COURT: Cross-examination? THE COURT: Let me -- let me figure out. I'm 4 MR. ASHBY: Thank you, Your Honor. going to sustain the objections. First of all, I don't 5 Can I have a second to clear this out, 6 know what foundation or what basis of where we're going Your Honor? 6 7 on this. 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ASHBY: MR. MAIMON: Sure. Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Longo. BY MR. MAIMON: 9 A. Good afternoon, sir. Q. You told us that you reviewed Ms. Leavitt's 10 Q. I have not seen you since your deposition. I 10 11 deposition? 11 hope you've been well. 12 A. Yes. 12 A. I'm trying to. 13 Q. You reviewed her mother's deposition; correct? 13 Q. You talked a little bit about industrial A. Yes, sir. 14 hygiene earlier, and I think you said you attended some 15 Q. You reviewed answers to interrogatories talking 15 seminars maybe on it and maybe you spoke at some of about where she lived and where she went to school? them; is that what you said? 16 16 A. Yes, sir. 17 17 A. I've taught at industrial hygiene conferences 18 Q. And based -- and did -- and based upon that, 18 to certified industrial hygienists. I've published in have you been able to identify, based on your review of 19 industrial hygiene journals. I have been -- I have 19 20 the materials in this case, any other asbestos --20 been asked to give talks on our research on industrial confirmed or documented significant asbestos exposure 21 hygiene, yes, sir. 22 aside from the Johnson's Baby Powder that you already 22 Q. What you did say, though, is you're not a 23 told us about? 23 certified industrial hygienist; correct? 24 A. No. I could not find any evidence of any 24 A. No, sir, I'm still not.

25

Q. You've never taken the test to be a certified

outside exposure other than the Johnson's Baby Powder.

Page 170..173

```
Page 172
                                                      Page 170
1
    industrial hygienist?
                                                                    I can't talk about the Department of Defense stuff;
2
         A. No, sir, I haven't.
                                                                    otherwise, I'm going to have to kill you.
         Q. You -- you're not a geologist, either; correct?
                                                                             MR. ASHBY: I didn't catch that. What did he
3
4
         A. No. I don't have a degree in geology.
                                                                4
                                                                    say?
                                                                5
5
         Q. You're not a mineralogist as well?
                                                                             MR. SATTERLEY: Don't repeat it. Don't repeat
         A. I don't have a degree in mineralogy. We have
                                                                6
6
                                                                    it.
7
    to -- I have to do a lot of that in the arena of
                                                                7
                                                                             THE WITNESS: Only since it's on the record.
8
    asbestos, but I don't have a degree in it.
                                                                8
                                                                             THE COURT: The Court will note everyone is
9
         Q. I -- what my question was is are you a
                                                                9
                                                                    laughing and we hope it was a joke.
    mineralogist? Is that "yes" or "no"?
                                                               10
                                                                             THE WITNESS: It was a joke.
10
                                                                             I think I'd get killed if I said that.
11
         A. Well, it's a little difficult to answer
                                                               11
12
    questions like that "yes" or "no," so I would have to
                                                               12
                                                                    BY MR. ASHBY:
13
    say "yes and no."
                                                               13
                                                                         Q. None of that work, though, had anything to do
14
         Q. Do you have a Ph.D. in mineralogy?
                                                               14
                                                                    with talcum powder; right?
15
         A. That I do not have.
                                                               15
                                                                         A. No. It wasn't talcum powder, but all this
16
         Q. The first time that you personally ever
                                                               16
                                                                    research we've done over the years helps us understand
17
    analyzed what you know to be a Johnson & Johnson talcum
                                                               17
                                                                    how to really analyze for microparticles and
    powder product was in 2017?
                                                                    microfibers. So we're not just a -- we're just not a
18
19
         A. January of 2017, yes, sir.
                                                               19
                                                                    testing lab. We have all these scientists that we can
20
         Q. And you're aware, however, that the testing of
                                                               20
                                                                    make progress on this. So we use things that we have
21
    cosmetic talc for the presence of asbestos has gone on
                                                               21
                                                                    used for talcum powder. But, no. No government agency
22
   for decades; right?
                                                               22
                                                                    has come to us and said, please test this talcum
23
         A. Yes, sir.
                                                               23
                                                                   powder.
         Q. You, on the other hand, first started testing
                                                                         Q. Dr. Longo, you've done this a few times. You
    cosmetic talc only after being contacted by law firms
                                                                    know how this works. We're going to try and get you
                                                      Page 171
                                                                                                                     Page 173
    for the plaintiffs in asbestos litigation; right?
                                                                    out of here as fast as you can.
         A. That is true.
                                                                2
                                                                             I would appreciate it if you answer my
         Q. You never -- you've never tested cosmetic talc
                                                                    questions.
    when you weren't being paid to do it by lawyers for the
                                                                             MR. ASHBY: I'll ask the Court to either
    plaintiffs?
                                                                    admonish the witness or move to strike the testimony to
6
         A. That is true.
                                                                    the extent it was more than a "yes" or "no."
         Q. The only time you've tested talcum powder is
                                                                7
                                                                             THE COURT: I'm not going to strike that
    for plaintiffs lawyers suing for money in litigation;
                                                                    answer, but I will -- let's try to keep your answers
9
    right?
                                                                    succinct, sir.
10
         A. I guess eventually that's what happens, yes,
                                                               10
                                                                             THE WITNESS: Sorry, Your Honor.
11
   sir
                                                               11
                                                                             THE COURT: Go ahead.
12
         Q. You mentioned some work for some government
                                                               12
                                                                    BY MR. ASHBY:
    agencies. I think you talked -- did you talk about --
                                                               13
13
                                                                    Q. You never published any papers relating to
                                                                    talc; true?
14
    did you mention NASA today?
         A. I did not.
15
                                                               15
                                                                         A. That's true.
16
         Q. No, you did not. Okay.
                                                               16
                                                                         Q. None of the work you've talked about with the
17
         A. Did you want me to?
                                                                    jury in this case has ever been submitted for peer
18
         Q. No. You don't have to. You usually say that
                                                               18
                                                                    review; true?
19
    you can't talk about it; right?
                                                               19
                                                                         A. That is correct.
20
             MR. MAIMON: Objection, Your Honor.
                                                               20
                                                                         Q. You're being compensated for your time here
21
              "Can't talk about."
                                                               21
                                                                    today; true?
22
             THE WITNESS: No, I talk about NASA. That's
                                                               22
                                                                         A. That is correct.
23
   the work we did on their space x-ray telescope where we
                                                               23
                                                                         Q. And you've told me at your deposition in
    were doing microsurgery, actually drilling holes to
                                                                    November that you've only ever talked about your data
   help connect chips because of -- et cetera, et cetera.
                                                                    with respect to cosmetic talc when MAS has been
```

```
Page 174
                                                                                                                      Page 176
                                                                    BY MR. ASHBY:
1
    compensated for it; right?
                                                                1
2
         A. We've only ever talked about it?
                                                                2
                                                                         Q. That's you on the right there; right?
                                                                         A. How did you guess?
3
         Q. You've only ever talked about it when MAS is
4
    being compensated for it.
                                                                         Q. George Yamate on the left there?
5
         A. I've only testified at trial when -- when -- so
                                                                5
                                                                         A. Yes, sir.
    my company can send a bill, yes, sir.
                                                                         Q. You told us -- or you told me at your
6
         Q. And you're the president of your lab, and it's
                                                                    deposition in the past one of the ways you've explained
    called MAS; right?
                                                                    this is that there was a price competition in the TEM
9
         A. Yes, sir.
                                                                    community and you wanted to show that you had the best
                                                                    TEM lab at the time; is that right?
10
         Q. You own 75 percent of MAS; true?
                                                               10
         A. That is correct.
11
                                                                         A. That's correct.
                                                               11
12
         Q. You opened MAS in February of 1988?
                                                               12
                                                                         Q. But what you didn't do for showing that you're
13
         A. Opened the doors, yes, sir.
                                                                    the best TEM lab in the country is you didn't -- you
14
         Q. And you had some discussions with Mr. Maimon
                                                                    were not wearing a lab coat there; right?
    about the $30 million number.
15
                                                               15
                                                                         A. No. That would be a suit.
16
             Do you recall that?
                                                               16
                                                                         Q. Are you wearing a lab coat?
17
         A. I do.
                                                               17
                                                                             That's not a lab coat.
         Q. And you said you hadn't -- that wasn't personal
                                                                         A. That's not a lab coat.
18
                                                               18
19
    to you, you didn't make that 30 million personally is
                                                               19
                                                                         Q. Are you in a lab?
    what you said; right?
                                                               20
                                                                         A. No, sir, I'm not.
20
         A. That is correct.
21
                                                               21
                                                                         Q. You're in a courtroom; right?
         Q. But what you've testified to in the past is
                                                               22
                                                                         A. Yes.
   that over the past 30 years MAS has billed over
                                                               23
                                                                         Q. Another way you've explained it in the past is
24 30 million for legal consultation, depositions, work
                                                                    that you were trying to get the message across that MAS
25 evaluation, and trial testimony on behalf of
                                                                    was a great lab for clearance samples and if there was
                                                      Page 175
                                                                                                                      Page 177
    plaintiffs. Right?
                                                                    ever a dispute, you'd be willing to stand up and defend
         A. That is correct.
                                                                    your data in court; right? That's the other way you
         Q. Not long after opening the doors at MAS, you
                                                                    explained it; is that true?
3
                                                                         A. I think both those explanations go together.
    were running an ad in which you were soliciting
5
    business; correct? Are you familiar with this ad?
                                                                         Q. I'm not suggesting they're not. I'm just
6
         A. I've been shown it many times in the last
                                                                    asking if those are the two explanations.
7
    30 years.
                                                                7
                                                                             MR. MAIMON: Objection.
         Q. It's in the National Asbestos Council; right?
                                                                    BY MR. ASHBY:
    In that magazine?
                                                                         Q. So let me back up. The other way for -- or
10
         A. Yes, sir. 1989. It's a classic.
                                                                    maybe the similar way you've explained this is that you
                                                               10
11
             MR. ASHBY: May I approach, Your Honor?
                                                               11
                                                                    were trying to get the message across that MAS was a
             THE COURT: You may.
                                                                    great lab for clearance samples and if there was ever a
12
13
   BY MR. ASHBY:
                                                                    dispute you'd be willing to stand up and defend your
14
         Q. I've handed you DX12204.
                                                                    data in court; right?
15
             Do you recognize that document?
                                                               15
                                                                         A. That's all part of the same reason why we did
         A. I do.
                                                               16
16
                                                                    that.
                                                                         Q. Okay. But you've never actually testified in
17
         Q. And is this the ad that you had published in
                                                               17
18
    the trade magazine for the National Asbestos Council?
                                                               18
                                                                    court to defend your clearance data; right?
19
         A. Yes, sir, it is.
                                                               19
                                                                         A. I have not. That's because we're so good.
20
         Q. All right.
                                                               20
                                                                         Q. Before you got heavy into consulting in
21
             MR. ASHBY: Your Honor, can I publish?
                                                               21
                                                                    cosmetic talc litigation, about 35 to 40 percent of
22
             MR. MAIMON: No objection.
                                                               22
                                                                    MAS's business came from consulting?
23
             THE COURT: You may publish.
                                                               23
                                                                         A. Yes, sir.
24
              (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit DX12204 was
                                                               24
                                                                         Q. But in the past year, it has increased to about
25
             marked for identification.)
                                                                    70 percent of your business; right?
```

```
Page 180
                                                      Page 178
 1
          A. That is correct.
                                                                    and they did something called "exfoliation" to it.
          Q. And that jump from 40 to 70 percent is due
                                                                 2
                                                                              Can you explain what "exfoliation" is?
    exclusively to the more work you have in talc
                                                                 3
                                                                         A. Sure. Vermiculite comes in plates, sort of
 4
    litigation; right?
                                                                    stacked up, thin mineral plates and has some water in
 5
          A. That is correct.
                                                                 5
                                                                    there. And if you take it through a furnace at about
          Q. You've testified as an expert in asbestos
                                                                    1250 degrees Farenheit and rotate it through, it'll
 6
    litigation since the 1980s; right?
                                                                    expand that water and make it pop like popcorn so it's
 8
          A. I think the first case was 1989 or 1990.
                                                                    exfoliated.
 9
          Q. Since you ran that ad that we still have up,
                                                                9
                                                                             That gives it its insulation capabilities
10
    30 years ago you've given about 2500 to 3,000
                                                               10
                                                                    because it lets air get into the structure between the
11
     depositions; true?
                                                               11
                                                                    leaves. So you can go from what looks like a pound of
12
          A. Since about 1989, 1990, when it started in
                                                               12
                                                                    the rock and exfoliate that and it would be this big
13
    about 1991 and '92, that's true.
                                                               13
                                                                     (indicating).
14
          Q. On average, now, you have testified at least
                                                               14
                                                                          Q. And Scotts, because it had vermiculite in it,
    once a week, every week for the last five years?
                                                               15
15
                                                                    their product had some trace asbestos contamination;
16
          A. Yes. That is correct.
                                                               16
                                                                    right?
17
          Q. Even more recently, you're having one to two
                                                               17
                                                                         A. That's correct.
18
    depositions per week; right?
                                                               18
                                                                          Q. And they hired you to defend them in court to
19
         A. Yes, sir.
                                                               19
                                                                    say that the trace contamination in their product was
          Q. And 95 percent of the time that you're in
                                                               20
                                                                    extremely low and couldn't cause harm to a consumer;
     court, it's for plaintiffs attorneys in asbestos
                                                               21
                                                                    right?
     litigation; true?
                                                               22
                                                                          A. Yes and no. I never say any -- that any of
23
         A. That is true.
                                                               23
                                                                    this causes harm to anybody. I'm just a measurement
          Q. In fact, you've been designated as an expert
                                                               24
                                                                    auv.
    several thousand times by plaintiffs lawyers suing in
                                                               25
                                                                             And, yes, based on the application of that
                                                      Page 179
                                                                                                                      Page 181
                                                                    fertilizer, encapsulated, spread with a spreader in the
 1
    litigation?
 2
          A. With 3500 depositions, that math works.
                                                                 2
                                                                    trace amounts, I don't -- it was my opinion that there
                                                                    was no significant exposure, which is different than
          Q. You said recently that you think every
     plaintiff's attorney in the country lists you in any
                                                                    taking a powder that's loose and pouring it on your
    type of asbestos litigation?
                                                                    body every day. So there's -- you can't -- it's apples
 6
          A. Sadly, that's true. They don't even call me.
                                                                 6
                                                                    and oranges, those two types of scenarios.
 7
    They just list my name.
                                                                 7
                                                                          Q. So if you -- I gave you some binders there.
          Q. Okay. I'm going to -- you switched subjects
                                                                8
                                                                    You took a look at them earlier when you first got in.
    now. You talked a little bit about -- or you talked
                                                                 9
                                                                         A. Which one do you want me to get?
    with Mr. Maimon a little bit about the concentration
                                                               10
10
                                                                          Q. It's Volume II.
11
   method and TEM, so we can talk about microscopes. You
                                                               11
                                                                             MR. ASHBY: Can you see if Mr. Maimon has a
12
   can put that aside, the ads.
                                                               12
                                                                    copy?
13
             Would you agree with me that in the 1970s, TEM
                                                               13
                                                                             THE WITNESS: I don't see volumes.
14
    analysis was expensive, the TEM microscope itself?
                                                               14
                                                                             MR. MAIMON: How about the tab number?
15
          A. In 1970 dollars, I would agree.
                                                               15
                                                                             MR. ASHBY: Why don't I take a look?
16
          Q. And you've stated there were very few, if any,
                                                               16
                                                                             THE WITNESS: This one's much fatter than
17
    TEMs in commercial laboratories that had the
                                                               17
                                                                    yours.
    appropriate technology to perform accurate trace
                                                               18
18
                                                                             MR. ASHBY: We're starting skinny.
19
    amphibole contaminant analysis; right?
                                                               19
                                                                             THE WITNESS: There's more down here. Oh, 202.
20
          A. That's correct.
                                                               20
                                                                    BY MR. ASHBY:
21
          Q. You've actually -- some of the work you've done
                                                                         Q. Right. There you go.
                                                               21
    when you have worked for defendants you did some work
                                                                             So if you could turn to just that first tab.
22
                                                               22
23
                                                               23
                                                                    It says "April 10, 2015 report"?
    for a company called Scotts; right?
24
          A. Yes, sir.
                                                               24
                                                                             Is that the report that you prepared for the
25
          Q. And Scotts was a company that took vermiculite,
                                                                    Scotts Company?
```

```
Page 182
                                                                                                                       Page 184
1
              THE COURT: Are you looking at the exhibit book
                                                                          Q. However, in the 1970s, there were no ATEM bulk
                                                                 1
                                                                     sample vermiculite/amphibole accepted and validated
    or the transcript book?
             MR. ASHBY: It's called -- it says "Longo Cross
3
                                                                     protocols for this type of analysis."
4
    Outline Exhibits, Volume II."
                                                                              Do you see that?
5
                                                                 5
                                                                          A. I do.
             THE COURT: Okay. Which tab again?
             MR. ASHBY: The first one.
                                                                          Q. And then -- then you say, "Another problem in
6
7
              THE COURT: All right. That's easy.
                                                                     the 1970s was that there were very few, if any, ATEMs
8
              THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. This is one of them.
                                                                     in commercial laboratories that had the appropriate
9
    BY MR. ASHBY:
                                                                     technology to perform accurate trace amphibole
10
         Q. Right. This is one of the -- this is a report
                                                                10
                                                                     contaminant analysis."
11
    that you issued to the Court in some case that Scotts
                                                                              That's what you said when you were working on
                                                                11
12
    was in?
                                                                12
                                                                    behalf of Scotts; right?
13
         A. I believe so.
                                                                13
                                                                          A. Yes, sir. I still stand by that statement.
14
         Q. If you could turn to the -- page 6. Actually,
                                                                14
                                                                          Q. I'm not suggesting you don't.
15
    turn -- it's going to be marked -- it says "005" at the
                                                                15
                                                                              So you -- you talked a little bit about -- you
16
    bottom.
                                                                16
                                                                     can take that down now, John.
17
              Do you see that?
                                                                17
                                                                              And you're familiar with the J4-1 Method. You
         A. I have 005.
                                                                     talked about that a little bit, too; right?
18
                                                                18
19
              MR. ASHBY: So it says DX11219.0005 for
                                                                19
                                                                          A. Yes.
    everybody following along.
20
                                                                20
                                                                          Q. And you know that if you reviewed the J4-1
21
              Your Honor, can I publish his report?
                                                                21
                                                                    Method that the J4-1 Method on its face says one of the
22
              THE COURT: Any objection?
                                                                22
                                                                     reasons that TEM wasn't being used for J4-1 was that
23
             MR. MAIMON: No objection.
                                                                     there was -- it had to do with the expense of the
24
              THE COURT: All right. You may publish.
                                                                    equipment eliminated -- eliminated it as a routine
25
              (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit DX11219 was
                                                                25
                                                                    method; right?
                                                       Page 183
                                                                                                                       Page 185
             marked for identification.)
                                                                          A. That's what it states.
1
                                                                 1
2
             MR. ASHBY: John, can you pull up page -- on my
                                                                          Q. It's one of the reasons. There were a couple,
3
                                                                    but that's one of the reasons.
    copy, 0005.
                                                                          A. That's what it states.
4
    BY MR. ASHBY:
5
         Q. So it says -- you start -- you wrote this;
                                                                 5
                                                                          Q. And you know that J4-1 was the industry
6
    right?
                                                                     standard in the United States in the 1970s, right, for
7
         A. Yes, sir.
                                                                 7
                                                                     the analysis of cosmetic talc for the presence or
         Q. And what you wrote was, "One of the criticisms
                                                                     absence of asbestos; right?
                                                                 9
    leveled at Scotts of this early testing for both bulk
                                                                          A. Yes. I think it was a trade organization
    and air sample analysis was the use of PLM, XRD, and
                                                                10
                                                                     standard.
10
11
    PCM for the quantification of possible amphibole
                                                                11
                                                                          Q. And you know that the UK Cosmetic Trade
    contamination and exposure in light of these
                                                                    Association, so the United Kingdom's Cosmetic Trade
12
    instruments' detection limits and specificity for
                                                                    Association, in the 1970s was called the British
13
14
    amphibole asbestos."
                                                                14
                                                                     Toiletry Preparation Federation; right?
15
              Do you see that?
                                                                15
                                                                          A. That is correct.
16
         A. I do.
                                                                16
                                                                          Q. And the British Toiletry Prep -- Toiletry
         Q. And you said, "This would be a valid criticism
17
                                                                17
                                                                     Preparation Federation developed its own industry
18
    if these analyses were performed today because of the
                                                               18
                                                                     standard for testing of talc; right?
19
    validation and routine use of analytical transmission
                                                                19
                                                                          A. I believe so.
20
    electron microscopes for this type of vermiculite
                                                                20
                                                                          Q. And the British -- and I'm going to call it
21
    amphibole contaminant analysis."
                                                                21
                                                                     "TPF." The British TPF method was XRD; right?
                                                                22
22
             Do you see that?
                                                                          A. I think so. I'd have to look at it to verify
23
         A. Yes, sir.
                                                                23
                                                                     that.
24
         Q. You still agree with that; right?
                                                                24
                                                                          Q. Why don't you look at the binder there that I
25
         A. Yes, I do.
                                                                25
                                                                    have. It's Volume I. If you'd go to Tab K.
```

	Page 214	
1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)	
2) ss.	
3	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA)	
4		
5	I, EARLY K. LANGLEY, do hereby certify:	
6	That foregoing proceedings were held in the	
7	above-entitled action at the time and place therein	
8	specified;	
9	That said proceedings were taken before me at said	
10	time and place, and was taken down in shorthand by me,	
11	a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of	
12	California, and was thereafter transcribed into	
13	typewriting, and that the foregoing transcript	
14	constitutes a full, true and correct report of said	
15	proceedings that took place;	
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunder subscribed my	
17	hand on February 7, 2019.	
18		
19	- ^	
20	\bigcirc $()$	
21		
22		
0.0	EARLY K. DANGLEY, CSR No. 3537	
23	State of California	
24		
25		